

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 1001.1504101 1828 10/045,565 10/19/2001 Mehran Bashiri **EXAMINER** 28075 11/12/2004 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC WOO, JULIAN W 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420 3731

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/045,565	BASHIRI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julian W. Woo	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of try period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Min by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 10 September 2004.		
·	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12,14-19 and 21-29</u> is/ar	e pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1,4,6-9,17-19,21-26,28 and 29	is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 2,3,12,14-16 and 27 is/are objection	ected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 H S C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign phonty under 55 5.5.5	. 3 110(4)-(4) 01 (1).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ae
application from the International			9-
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, ,,,	ot received.	
·			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		lo(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	2)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	0/SB/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other: _	* *	-)

Application/Control Number: 10/045,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 10, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 6-9, 17-24, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Phan et al. (5,192,286). Phan et al. disclose, in figures 1-3C, an embolus extractor and a method of withdrawing the embolus extractor, where the extractor has a microcatheter (10), an elongate shaft (18) and first and second struts (26), where each strut has a proximal end and a distal end, where the distal end of each strut is coupled to the distal end of the shaft; where the struts have first and second positions, where, in the second position, the proximal and distal ends of the struts are spaced at a second distance less than a first distance between the ends at the first position (see figs. 3A-3C); wherein the second position, the proximal ends of the struts form an open,

Art Unit: 3731

generally circular mouth eccentric with respect to the shaft (see figs. 2 and 2A); wherein the first position, the struts are disposed generally parallel and adjacent to the shaft (see fig. 3A); where the struts extend generally distally from the mouth to define a generally tapering body (see fig. 3C), where the proximal portion of the struts forming the mouth extend from the shaft at an angle between 45 deg. to 90 deg., and where the struts include a radiopaque material or marker (metallic threads according to col. 4, line 27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 10, 11, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phan et al. in view of Oslund et al. (6,740,061). Phan et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed, but do not disclose that the metallic struts include a shape memory metal or a NiTi alloy. Oslund et al. teach, in col. 3, lines 40 and 41, a embolus extractor including a NiTi alloy (nitinol, a shape memory metal). It would have

Art Unit: 3731

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of Oslund et al., to include a shape memory metal or a NiTi alloy in the struts of the device of Phan et al. Such a metal would render the struts strong, flexible, biocompatible.

6. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phan et al. in view of Ladd (6,059,814). Phan et al. disclose the method substantially as claimed, but do not disclose a radiopaque marker at the distal end of the microcatheter. Ladd teaches, in col. 7, lines 3-8, an embolus extractor with a radiopaque marker (64) at the distal end of a catheter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to include a radiopaque marker on the microcatheter of Phan et al. Such a marker would allow location of the microcatheter within a patient's body and would allow location and positioning of any radiopaque devices moved relative to the microcatheter.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 2, 3, 12, 14-16, and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record, alone or in combination, discloses an embolus extractor and a method of withdrawing the embolus extractor, where the extractor has, inter alia, a microcatheter, an elongate shaft and first and second struts, where each strut has a proximal end and a distal end, where the distal end of each strut is coupled

Art Unit: 3731

to the distal end of the shaft; where the struts have first and second positions, where, in the second position, the proximal and distal ends of the struts are spaced at a second distance less than a first distance between the ends at the first position, and wherein the second position, the proximal ends of the struts form an open, generally circular mouth eccentric with respect to the shaft, where sleeves are slidably coupled to the ends of the struts, where a third strut with a transverse cross-sectional area less than the transverse cross-sectional area of each of the first and second struts is include in the extractor; where the first and second struts are movable independently of each other, are rotatable about the shaft, and are translatable along the shaft; and where the first strut has a transverse cross-sectional area greater than the transverse cross-sectional area of the second strut.

Response to Amendment

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-19, and 21-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Oslund et al. (6,527,746) teach an embolus extractor.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 308-0421. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern Time, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,565 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anh Tuan Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

General inquiries relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858. The official FAX number is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julian W. Woo Primary Examiner

Julian M. Moo

November 10, 2004